

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Tomohiko Otose	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4446
09/670,596	(09/29/2000		N00195US	
30743	7590	04/03/2003			
	•	IS & CHRISTOF	EXAMINER		
11491 SUNS SUITE 340			PHAM, HAI CHI		
RESTON, VA 20190				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2861	
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	plicant(s)					
	:	09/670,596	OTOSE ET AL.					
• •	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hai C Pham	2861					
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ad	dress				
Period fo	• •							
THE - External floor of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 · SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1) 	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 28 January 2003 .						
2a)[· _	☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•	ion of Claims	P. C. H. P. C. P. C.						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1.2,4-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pend							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,15 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 17</u> is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	and/or election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.					
.9/	Applicant may not request that any objection							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	disapproved by the Examin	er.				
•	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No					
		nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage				
	See the attached detailed Office action fo	•						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			application).				
	 a) The translation of the foreign languated Acknowledgment is made of a claim for description. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					



Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fork (U.S. 5,936,657).

Fork discloses an integrating xerographic light emitter array comprising a picture element array (OLED array 20) composed of picture elements containing light-emitting devices (OLEDs) arranged in directions of a picture element line and a picture element string in two dimensions (Fig. 2) (col. 4, lines 39-47), a horizontal scanning circuit (data line driver 32) as one peripheral circuit to feed data signals to each picture element string in said picture element array, and a vertical scanning circuit (multiplexer 30) as

Art Unit: 2861

another peripheral circuit to sequentially select and activate each picture element line in said picture element array (col. 4, lines 56-62) wherein said horizontal scanning circuit and said vertical scanning circuit comprise poly-crystal thin-film transistors (polysilicon layer including the TFT drive transistors 304). Fork further shows in Fig.1 the picture element array, the horizontal scanning circuit, and the vertical scanning circuit being formed on a same insulating substrate as well as in Fig. 3, where the horizontal scanning circuit (32) and the vertical scanning circuit (30) being formed along with the patterned electrodes (210, 220) between which the organic emitting material is successively deposited (col. 5, lines 6-25).

With regard to claims 15 and 18, Fork further teaches means (control electronics 22) for selectively controlling the energization power of the amounts of light to be emitted from the picture elements.

With regard to claims 2, 4, Fork further teaches the light-emitting device being composed of organic electroluminescence devices (organic light emitting diodes), and a means (control electronics 22) for setting amounts of light to be emitted from the light-emitting device in picture elements constituting the picture element lines by each picture element line constituting said picture element array (col. 3, lines 12-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2861

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fork (657) in view of Fork et al. (U.S. 6,072,517).

Fork ('657) discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for each picture element in a string being passing sequentially on a same spot on the surface of the drum, and the number of picture elements in each string activated by the vertical scanning circuit being changed.

However, Fork et al. ('517) discloses an integrating xerographic light emitter array of the same structure wherein the vertical scanning circuit is so operated that, in a state in which the picture element array is disposed facing a surface of a photosensitive body (14) in a manner that a direction of said picture element line is parallel to a rotation axis of said photosensitive body (Fig. 1), activates said picture element line containing each picture element while each picture element contained in each picture element string in said picture element array is passing sequentially on a same spot on a surface of said photosensitive body, with rotation of said photosensitive body (col. 6, lines 20-67). Fork et al. ('517) further teaches the number of picture elements in said each picture element string activated by said vertical scanning circuit being able to be changed (Figs. 5, 6) (col. 6, lines 26-35).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Fork ('657) with the aforementioned teachings of Fork et al. ('517). The motivation of doing so would allow

Art Unit: 2861

the exposure of any pixel on the drum to be varied in a number of grey levels as indicated by Fork et al. ('517) (see Fork et al.'s Abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 9-14, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4, 15, 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

Additional Pertinent Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huang et al. (U.S. 5,789,766) discloses a two-dimensional LED array and associated column and row driver circuitry formed on the same substrate.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

Art Unit: 2861

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

HAI PHAM

Harcli Man

PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 29, 2003